

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/ ARMS COMPL	DISCOVERY (CI)		
ΑI	TRS ID#: 0090033 DA	TE: <u>12/06/2011</u>	ARRIVE:	_ DEPART:	:	
FA	CILITY NAME: CE	MEX-MELBOURNE REA	ADY-MIX			
FA	ACILITY LOCATION	1200 LAKE WASH	HINGTON RD			
		MELBOURNE 3	32935			
CO	OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER Email: CONTACT NAME: GREG STONE Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/20/2009 / 9/20/2014 PHONE: (561)820-8415 Mobile: (561)718-7564 PHONE: (321)254-1726 Mobile:					
		(effective date) (end da	ate)			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
DA	DT II. ONSITE INTI	RODUCTORY MEETING	<u> </u>			
	Name(s) of facility rep Brief Notes:		<u>o</u>		(check ✓ box for each	•
2.	Is the Authorized Repr If no, who is?:	resentative still JEFFREY F -	PORTER?		☐ Yes	□No
3.		cility provide an administrat still GREG STONE?		s?	Yes Yes	□No □No
4.						□No □No

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-split bin (cement) compartment #1 w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards			
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	□ No□ No□ No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	No No		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	□ No □ No		

Emissions Unit Section 2 – CCB Plant-split bin (cement) compartment #2 w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards			
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	□ No□ No□ No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	No No		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	□ No □ No		

Emissions Unit Section 3 -CCB Plant-silo (flyash/slag) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
DADEW EVELD ODGDDWATYONG DAY (2.20) 414(2) DAG	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Foints, Roads, Farking Areas, Stock Files, and Tards	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter? Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 – CCB Plant-weigh hopper w/fabric filter bag subject to Reasonable Precautions

	—
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes No If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes No b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A Yes No c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?)
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes No 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	
control emissions? Yes No	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter? Yes No)
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes No	1
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No	1
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
c. white caused the problem(s) (ii known).	

Emissions Unit Section 6 -CCB Plant-batcher/truck loadout w/shroud¢.dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			
1. Date of last inspection: 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards			
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	□ No□ No□ No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	No No		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	□ No □ No		

Facility Section (continued)

			1
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ✓ box for each	only one h question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		☐ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		☐ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop	-	☐ No
4.	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption	□ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	only one h question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	☐ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- Yes	☐ No
	permit and Department rules?	Yes	☐ No

 RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable □; or consisting of both statement of concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If</i> 	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Lo	
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica	rior to changing location? Yes No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busines c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat	s days following a relocation? Yes No ion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five b	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate ai and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit is a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose? If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was a facility with a separate ai and the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose.	n that separate permit: ose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No
co-located at the permitted facility?	
CHANGES Administrative Changes	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	of the facility or any emissions units or istrative change at the facility? Yes No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? - c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substa d. A change in ownership?	ntially different? Yes No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?	
Sangeeta Sharma	12/06/2011
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Ms. Sangeeta Sharma inspected this facility on 12/06/2011. This facility was shut down at the time of the inspection. They operate this facility 1 or 2 times a week because of less buissness.